

EXAM/28

Petition to Save the Old Cow Field in Alconbury

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

<p>Petition summary and background</p>	<p>The field North of School Lane, Alconbury, has been put forward for potential housing development. This follows the call for plots from the District Council. The number of houses proposed for this land varies between 95 – 130. Even with a need for houses, this development goes against the Council's own reasoning where housing will:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“be limited to redevelopment opportunities, intensification of use and development of land which relates wholly to existing buildings rather than the surrounding countryside.”</p> <p>Reasons for opposition to this plan include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss of biodiversity from loss of chemically pristine, historic ridge and furrow land (a registered monument). • Loss of land for informal recreation amenity used during snow. • Loss of views and privacy by creating the elevated aspect of any development. • Increased traffic through the village and commensurate parking issues. • Increased pressure on local services. • Increased risk of flooding by building on the greenfield slope, with significant flooding already an issue. • Impact on the character of Alconbury village by increasing it in size by 17% • Housing in local area not appropriate, nor necessary, due to development of Alconbury Weald. • Potential noise impacts from increased A1(M) traffic, with new houses needing to keep windows closed at night and elevated hard surfaces reflecting noise back across the village. • The sluggish sale of houses on the Weald indicating a lower necessity for housing in the local area than predicted. <p>When these significant issues were raised by residents, we were told that they would indeed be taken into consideration at the planning stage and would pose a significant obstacle to development of the field. The Parish Council also indicated that any development would be rejected. The Planners have included this development to meet an arbitrary quota for potential houses by 2036, against the registered wishes of the local residents.</p>
<p>Action petitioned for</p>	<p>We, the undersigned, are concerned residents who urge those in authority to reject this planned development, remove this beloved local field from the Local Plan to 2036 and protect it from future consideration for building.</p>

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
Tom MacLennan			I oppose this development	13/5/18
Amy MacLennan			" "	13.5.18
Sue Barber			" "	16.5.18
ROGER BARBER			" "	16.5.18
FIONA MCKAY			" "	16.05.18
JAMIE MCKAY			I OPPOSE THIS DEVELOPMENT	16.5.18
George McKay			"	16.05.18
Charlie McKay			"	16.05.18
M Smith				16-05-18
D.V. SMITH			NO THANKS	16.05.18
S P Block			" "	16-5-18
L. RISELEY			NO THANKS	16-5-18
J PRATT			" "	16-5-18
D BOND			" "	16.5.18
A WELSH			TOTALLY OPPOSED	15/5/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
SUSAN COLLINS.			far too many uses for said plot of land	16/5/18.
VIVIANNE SMILEY			FAR TOO MUCH TRAFFIC	16/5/18
JENNIFER COLLINS			Flooding!!! Amenities considered Too much traffic. ^{Green space} lost	16/5/18
Alex Smiley			TO much traffic	16/5/18
JULIE LUCKY			Traffic, noise, over population	17/5/18
Byron Loven			Traffic noise, Vibration from A1 through houses.	17/5/18.
TONI STEEDON			TRAFFIC AND FLOODING	17/5/18
ZOE STEEDON			FLOODING	17/5/18.
WILLOW STEEDON			TRAFFIC AND NOISE	17/5/18.
GLENDA O'CONNELL			FLOODING/ENVIRONMENT	17/5/18
Sam O'CONNELL			Flooding	17/5/18
SEAN DOWLING			flooding, noise, traffic	17/5/18
A. McCARLEY			FLOODING, NOISE, ENVIRONMENT	17/5/18.
K. McCamley			need field to prevent flooding	17/5/18
Jessica McCamley			Flooding & noise	17/5/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

NEAL HANSEN		17/5/18
NICKY HANSEN		17/5/18
MELANIE HANSEN		17/5/18
MICHAEL BURTON		12/5/18
YVONNE EYLES		"
ANDREA BLENKIRON		"
Tom Brooks		"
Karen Brooks		"
Sam Brooks		"
MIKE BROOKS		"
Jane Gettiffe		"
Phil Gettiffe		"
Ann Tipler		18/5/18
RICHARD TIPLER		
BRENDA SHAMUE		18/5/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

J McCLEESIEZ		18/5/18
R J. HEFFER		18-5-18
L.D-Brooks		18-5-18
V BEICBY		18-5-18
M THOMAS		18-5-18
M Epow		18-5-18
M. LEE	IT SHOULD NOT BE USED FOR HOUSING	11.
M. MAGEE		18/5/18
S. MERCHANT.		18/5/18
D. Merchant	ISNT 5000 HOUSES ON THE WELD ENOUGH?	18/5/18
SID ALSAFOD		18/5/18
L Goodwin		18/5/18
M LOGASKI		18/5/18
WENDY HEAD	NOT WANTED	18/5/18
TERESA PHIL WOOD.	NOT WANTED DUE TO Flooding over population + Road infrastructure	18/5/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
Alec Smith			No need for any new builds in village with 20000 less than wide way.	18.5.18
Jill Shelton				18/5/18
Emma Burne				18/5/18.
James Marshall				"
Hannah Holloway -vine				18/05/18
EMMA KEY			Traffic + flooding along the road.	18/5/18.
Susan Key			" "	18/5/18
JEAN KING Jean King				18/5/18.
Pat. Boyce			New Building with cause problems with ground water.	18/5/18
Margie Birch			no need	19/5/18
Gerard Barnes			- -	19.5.18
A. King-Venables				19/5/18
E KING-VENABLES			RIDGE + FURROW FLOODING ISSUES	19/5/18
A HEMSLEY			Traffic, flooding.	19/5/18
D. HOPKINS			Traffic + flooding	19/5/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
SHARON WHITNEY			Enough houses with	19/5/2018
LEAH WHITNEY			Alconbury Weald	
			Our village is a good size and we use the hill for sedging!	19/5/18
RICHARD WHITNEY			Traffic!	19/5/18
Barbara D'Silva			Spoil outlook of village and enough houses in the weald	19.5.18
Alison Clough			No ^{public} transport or facilities to go with development	19/5/18
LOIS WRYCRAFT			It will destroy the village!!	19/5/18
SIMON GRAY			enough houses already	19/5/18
Phillip Wilson			Enough on WIFLD ALREADY	19/5/18
JULIA O'DONNELL			I have not been asked to buy my council property.	19.5.18
DEBBIE WILSON			ALCONBURY WEALD, PLANT 54 BROUGH.	18.5.18
Sam Clifton			enough house already	19.5.18
R Walsh				19.5.18
N Robins			enough house already	19.5.18
V ROBINS			would destroy village	19.5.18
T FORD-SMITH			Too much strain on EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE	

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
DIANE BARNET				19/5/18
SPRAT STINGLEY			see 9/7.	19/5/18
Stuart Stungley				19-5-18
DEBORAH WILSON			ISN'T THE WEALD ENOUGH!!	19-5-18
Ian ADAM				19-5-18
P. & B. JONES.				" "
Joon Schiel				" "
Maryn MARE				" "
MEL B. DRYLIS				20/5/18
IAN DRYLIE				20/5/18
YOLANDA MARSH			VILLAGE ALREADY TOO BIG NO MORE.	20/5/18
JUDITH AUSTIN				20-5-18
Grego Rallig			.	20.05.18
Clare Luscombe				20/05/18
Peter Luscombe				20/05/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

GEMMA LEWIS Hodgson		2015/2018
J KING		20/5/
S. CHAPMAN		20-5-
A-CHAPMAN		20/5-
J HANVY		2015
K + KURVEY		2015
D. BRINSON		2015
C DILLIEN		2018
GARY SEAZON		2018
CAROL POTTER		2018
Chris Moate		21/9/18
Jacob Roberts		21/4/18
SSACKSON		24/5/18
N. BELL		27/5/18
Tim Magrell		9/7/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
G COOPER			Totally unnecessary with Pineburg Ward	20 MAY 2018
WICK STEVENS				20-5-18
R ASH				20-5-18
A. GARDNER				20.05.18
L Sheppard				20.5.18
M TARFT				20/5/18
J. BROOK				20/5/18
G. SMITH				20/5/18
S. Smith				20/5/18
P. Head				20/5/18
J. ARNOLD				20/5/18
E ADAMS				20/5/18
C. T. PLEZ				20/5/18
R HODGSON				20/5/18
SHAGGS				20/5/18

Petition to Reject the Development of the Field, North of School Lane

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
A.P.I. PRINCE	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	AGAINST DEVELOPMENT ON A LOVELY GREEN FIELD SITE.	9 July 18
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	IS NOT THE ALCONBURY WOULD BEV - NOT ENOUGH?	
R J BUISSETI	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]		9 July 18.
P.R. JACKSON	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	THIS WOULD DESTROY OUR VILLAGE AS WE KNOW IT	9 July 18
R.R. SCOTT	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	HISTORIC DITCH & FERRIS FIELD WILL BE LOST FOREVER	11 July '18
R. READ	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	N/O. TO ALL THE HOUSE BUILDINGS ON THIS SITE	5-9-18
I GILCHRIST	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	THIS DEVELOPMENT IS FOR MONETARY GAIN ONLY	5.9.18
H WHELAN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	We don't want it, please don't spoil our lovely village	5.9.18
J.S. ADAMS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Why this site? Thank again	5.9.18

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
TRACY BROWN				9/7/18
Styler Brown				9.7.18
PHILIP RAMSAY			NO NO NO NO NO	
CHRIS BOOLEY			Flooding, not needed, traffic etc	9.7.18
ALEC SMITH			No need to increase village 20% - would!! Traffic, pollution, noise	9.7.18
CHARLOTTE SMITH			1.2.18	9.7.18
JAN SUTHERLAND				9.7.18
MIKE SUTHERLAND				9/7/18
Sharon & GAREY BALDWIN			Total stupidity due to lack of road access	09/10/18.
Sarah Brown				9/7/18
Jason Keen			Roads not good.	9/7/18
Emma Key.			Extra traffic on narrow roads	9/7/18.
G JOHNSON			ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC SCHOOLS LAND PROFILE/FLOORING	9/7/18
G. OLIVER			any wetter / flood risk and other	9/7/18
M. BRIAN			FLOODING?	5/9/18

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
C. PARKER			NO!	5.9.18
J. READ			No Thank you!	5.9.18.
T. GILBERT			NO.	5 SEPT 2018
S. SYKES			GLUBE LANE BETTER LOCATION	05/09/18
JACKSON.			NO to it	05/09/18
Full			No to development. Flood risk	05/09/18
JEREMY ADAMS			Increase flooding risks over 200 more vehicle movements	05/09/18
Stephen Potter			Absolutely no	5/9/18
NEIL SIMS			NO	5/9/18
BARRY COOPER			No, No, No.	5/9/18.
M RADWELL			no development - FLOOD RISK	5.9.18.
R. RADWELL			NO.	5-9-18
J. BROWN.			Totally disagree, The risk of more flooding.	5-9-18
J AUSTIN			Totally against so 'wacky' many issues FLOODING!	5.9.18
P. AUSTIN			increased traffic in the vicinity	5.9.18
XXXXXXXXXX			NO TO DEVELOPMENT	5.9.18
XXXXXXXXXX			NO TO DEVELOPMENT	5.9.18

Printed Name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
LINDA PARKHOUSE			Opposed; Completely unacceptable	9.7.18
Andrew Parkhouse				
ANDREW PARKHOUSE			PE28 4WU Opposed	9 July 18
Robert Barnes			Totally opposed	9.7.18
VICKY SIMS			Completely unacceptable	9/7/18
Teddy Nages			Unnecessary development	9/7/18
S. Skingsley			- Alconbury would meet all these needs	
Stuart Skingsley			This will never develop the village - Not Needed!	9-7-18
Lynne Pinn			Totally opposed	9/7/18
CHRIS PINN			Totally opposed	9/7/18
DEBORAH SALMON			TOTALLY OPPOSED	9 JULY 2018
DEBBIE HOSE			OPPOSED	9/7/18
Mich Hose			OPPOSED	9/7/18
M. FLAHERTY			Opposed	9/7/18
L. T. DAVIS			OPPOSED	9/7/18
W. J. MAYNARD			OPPOSED	9/4/18
K. J. MAYNARD			You'll need to fix sewer	9/4/18